

Format C-7

(for political parties to publish in the newspapers, social media platforms & website of the party)

Information regarding individuals with pending criminal cases, who have been selected as candidates, along with the reasons for such selection, as also as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates.

(As per the Commission's directions issued in pursuance of the Order dated 13.02.2020 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in contempt petition(C) no. 2192 of 2018 in WP(C) no. 536 of 2011)

Name of Political Party	:	ALL INDIA ANNA DRAVIDA MUNNETRA KAZHAGAM
*Name of the Election	:	BIENNIAL ELECTIONS TO COUNCIL OF STATES, 2025
Name of State/UT	:	TAMIL NADU
Name of the Candidate	:	Thiru. M. DHANAPAL

Sl. No.				
1.	Criminal antecedents			
a.	Nature of the offences	Demonstration without getting permission from the police and disturbing the traffic. U/s. 189(2), 126(2), 292 of BNS Act.	Demonstration without getting permission from the police and disturbing the traffic and causing nuisance to the general public. U/s. 126(2), 292, 189(2), 223 of BNS Act.	Not Applicable
b.	Case No.	Cr. No. 685 /2024 Maduranthakam, PS	Cr. No. 77/2025 Thirukazhukundram, PS	Not Applicable
c.	Name of the Court	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
d.	Whether charges have been framed or not (Yes/No)	No	No	Not Applicable
e.	Date of conviction, if any	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
f.	Details of punishment undergone, if any	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
g.	Any other information required to be given	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
2.	The reasons for the selection of the candidate. Selection shall be with reference to the qualifications, achievements and merit of the candidate, and not mere "winnability" at the polls (not more than 100 words)		The selected candidate was a former MLA., and a very dedicated and a dynamic party worker. He has a very good track record and having great respect and confidence. The cases above mentioned are politically motivated, and the same was for the purpose of public welfare. We believe that there will be no adverse outcome against the alleged candidate.	
3.	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates (not more than 100 words)		The above selected Candidate is more competent person than other, He is well educated and He is a doctorate in Law and Criminology, the selected candidate is a meritorious and well known person in party as well in general public. Besides, the cases filed against him are politically motivated and false in nature.	

* In the case of election to Council of States or States or election to Legislative Council by MLAs, mention the election concerned in place of name of Constituency.